DTTNU | Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Compiler Construction

Lecture 20: Reaching definitions

Michael Engel

Overview

- Data-flow analyses
 - Forward analyses: Reaching definitions
 - Uninitialized variables analysis
 - Copy propagation



Reaching definitions analysis

- A definition of a variable x is a statement which assigns a value to x
- A unique **label** (representing the def) is associated with each assignment
 - different occurrences of the same assignment become different definitions
- A definition d reaches a point p if there is a path from the point immediately following d to p such that d is not "killed" along that path
- A definition of a variable is killed between two points when there is another definition of that variable along the path
 - r1 = r2 + r3 kills previous definitions of r1

Norwegian University of

Science and Technology



Reaching definitions vs. liveness

- Reaching definitions is different from uses of variables or computation of expressions
 - labels are not associated with them and hence lexically same computations are not treated as different entities for analysis
- Liveness
 - analyzes variables (e.g., virtual registers)
 - doesn't care about specific users
- Reaching defs
 - analyzes **operations**, each def is different
- Forward dataflow analysis as propagation occurs from defs downwards
 - liveness was backward analysis

Norwegian University of

Science and Technology

Data flow equations

- A definition d_i ∈ Defs of a variable x ∈ Var reaches a program point u if d_i occurs on some path from Start to u and is not followed by any other definition of x on this path
- The data flow equations to define the required analysis are:

$$In_{n} = \begin{cases} BI \text{ if } n \text{ is Start block} \\ \bigcup_{p \in pred(n)} Out_{p} \text{ otherwise} \\ Out_{n} = (In_{n}-Kill_{n}) \cup Gen_{n} \end{cases}$$

where In_n , Out_n , Gen_n , $Kill_n$, and BI are sets of definitions

- Note the use of \cup to capture the "any path" nature of data flow
 - This is similar to liveness analysis except that now the data flow is forward rather than backward

Assumptions for reaching def. analys.

- For every local variable x, it is assumed that a fictitious definition x = undef reaches Entry(Start)
 - This is required for the optimization of copy propagation (→ discussed later)
- If definition x = undef reaches a use of x, it suggests a potential use before definition
- Whether this happens at run time depends on the actual results of conditions along the path taken to reach the program point.
- Genn contains downwards exposed definitions in n whereas
 Killn contains all definitions of all variables modified in n
 - Thus $Gen_n \subseteq Kill_n$ for reaching definitions analysis

Example

- Labels of assignments consist of variable names and an instance number
 - used to represent the definitions in the programs
- Definitions a0, b0, c0, and d0 represent the special definitions a=undef, b=undef, c=undef, and
 - d=undef respectively
- Since the confluence operation is ∪, the initial value at each program point is Ø



Reaching definitions analysis results



 $\Box NTNU$

Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Reaching definitions analysis results



NTNU

Norwegian University of Science and Technology

Compiler Construction 20: Reaching definitions

def-use & use-def chains

- Reaching definitions analysis is used for constructing usedef and def-use chains which connect definitions to their uses
 - These chains facilitate several optimizing transformations

Example:

def-use chain for variable a

 Chains always start at a label







some uses of c This suggests a potential use before any assigning meaningful value

Example:

• This, in turn, makes variable **b** *potentially* undefined

B5

B6

Finding undefined var's

- Definition c0 reaches some uses of c
- This, in turn, makes variable b potentially undefined
- Transitive effects of undefined variables are captured by possibly uninitialized variables analysis
- Possibly uninitialized variables analysis is non-separable – whether a variable is possibly undefined may depend on whether other variables are possibly undefined.



Possibly uninitialized variables analysis

- For definition xi of variable x, reaching definitions analysis discovers a set of definition reaching paths:
- a sequence of blocks

 (b1, b2, ..., bk) which is a prefix of some potential execution path starting at b1 such that:
 - b1 contains the definition xi
 - bk is either End or contains a definition of x
 - no other block in the path contains a definition of x
- Example: some definition reaching paths for variable c are: (B4,B7,B3), (B3,B5,B6,B7,B3) and (B3,B5,B6,B5,B6,B7,B8)

Norwegian University of

Science and Technology



Reaching def. for copy propagation

- Another application of reaching definitions analysis is in performing copy propagation
- A definition of the form x=y is called a copy because it merely copies the value of y to x
- When such a definition reaches a use of x, and no other definition of x reaches that use then the use of x can be replaced by y



Copy propagation

Example:

- Copy b=4 in block B1 is the only definition which reaches the uses of b in blocks B3, B4, B5, B6 and B7
- Thus all these uses can be replaced by the constant 4





Copy propagation

 In the above example, the right hand side (RHS) value is constant

```
c0: c=undef
b1: b=4
a1: a=b+c
d1: d=a*b
```

- With variables on the RHS, e.g. x=y, replacing the uses of x by y requires an additional check to ensure that the value of y has not been modified along the path from copy to use
- A variant of our reaching definitions analysis can accomplish this:
- We restrict the defs to **copies**, a def **x=y** is contained in:
 - Genn if it is downwards exposed in n, i.e. not being followed by a definition of x or y, and in
 - Kill_b if n contains a definition of x or y
- We can now perform reaching definitions analysis
- If one def reaches a use, we can perform **copy propagation**

Use of copy propagation

- This copy propagation optimization does not improve the program on its own
- But it has the potential of creating **dead code**:
 - When copy propagation is performed using x = y, it is possible that all uses of x are replaced by y thus making x dead after the assignment
 - Thus this assignment can be safely deleted

References

[1] Allen, Frances E. and Cocke, John. A catalogue of optimizing transformations.RC 3548, IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, N.Y., September 1971